

# Measuring Coherent Radio and Microwave Photons from the Solar Corona

Liang Chen,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Zizang Qiu,<sup>3,†</sup> Thomas W. Kephart,<sup>4,‡</sup> and Arjun Berera<sup>3,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences,  
Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100190 Beijing, China*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, United Kingdom*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA*

The rates of production of radio/microwave  $N$ -identical photons states  $|N\rangle$  from stimulated emission in the solar atmosphere are estimated. Effects of various decohering factors are shown to be small. Ground based measurements of these quantum states via the inverse HOM effect are proposed. We argue that a signal is detectable and far above the noise in several cases.

*Introduction* – Photons in certain frequency bands can sustain quantum coherence at interstellar distances [1], which introduces quantum mechanical interests into astronomical observation. By quantum coherence we mean  $N$  photons in some specified quantum state, which particularly in this paper means they are all identical, with the same momentum and polarization. On a much longer scale, quantum coherence at cosmological distances can also be preserved as decoherence effects from interactions with the cosmological medium and the expansion of the Universe are negligible [2]. Viability of using photons in X-ray, optical and microwave bands as candidates to establish interstellar quantum communications was studied in Ref. [3] and more recently in Ref. [4].

Stimulated emission of  $N$  identical photons produced in a specified quantum state, which we denote as  $|N\rangle$ , is a possible type of quantum coherent photonic signals. We expect such signals may even come directly from the Sun, although deep below the surface of the star, they quickly decohere through interactions. But stimulated emission in the stellar atmosphere could still yield surviving coherent signals with the quantum nature intact. We proposed this possibility and did some initial estimates of the rates of potentially detectable  $|2\rangle$  states on a  $1\text{ m}^2$  area near Earth from stimulated emission occurring in the Solar corona, for characteristic optical, UV and X-ray emission lines [5].

Valuable discussions of the mechanism of stimulated emission can be found in Refs. [6, 7]. According to Fermi's Golden Rule, a Bose enhancement factor arises for the transition probability amplitude of stimulated photon emission, leading to a higher chance of obtaining states with a large number of photons of the same momentum and polarization. In Ref. [5], we re-expressed the cross section of stimulated emission in terms of the wavelength  $\lambda_0$ , refraction index  $n$  of the material, wavelength width of the emission  $\Delta\lambda$ , and rate of

spontaneous emission  $A$ , as

$$\sigma_0 = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{A\lambda_0}{c}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\Delta\lambda}\right). \quad (1)$$

Using NIST data [8] for the numerical values of  $A$  allows us to calculate cross sections of any stimulated emission line from any atom or ion.

A single photon state  $|1\rangle$  traveling through a layer of type  $a$  excited atoms with number density  $n_a$  can become a multi-photon state  $|N\rangle$  after a distance  $x$  due to stimulated emission. Here the distance  $x$  is not used to localize the photon but only to label the location where measurements may be performed. Denoting the probability of finding an  $|N\rangle$  state by  $P(x, N)$ , Ref. [5] shows that

$$P(x, N) = e^{-n_a\sigma_0 x} (1 - e^{-n_a\sigma_0 x})^{N-1}. \quad (2)$$

$P(x, N)$  is normalized to 1, when summed over all  $N$ , independent of  $x$ .

We have done an extensive search of possible stimulated emission processes in the solar atmosphere. In this Letter we report identification of a process in the microwave region that we will show produces a sizable rate and at the same time is amenable to measurement by a ground based experiment on Earth. The Letter starts by first providing a broader range of stimulated emission processes giving estimates for how uncertainties are treated. Next we identify potential sources of decoherence and show that these are not significant. We then discuss the uncertainties involved and compare the rates for the microwave to radio band to those of Optical, UV, X-ray given in Ref. [5]. We also propose how measurements could be performed to detect these quantum states based on the HOM effect[9], focusing in particular on the microwave range, which is the key case of interest in this Letter.

*Rates estimations* – We estimate the rate of  $|1\rangle$ -states produced by the Sun based on the measured values of the photon fluxes for various frequencies. As these  $|1\rangle$  states travel through the solar corona, they can stimulate a layer of excited atoms and become  $|2\rangle$  or  $|3\rangle$  states and so forth. We then determine the rate of these  $|N\rangle$  states being detectable on a  $1\text{ m}^2$  area near the Earth.

\* bqipd@pm.me

† zizang.qiu@ed.ac.uk

‡ tom.kephart@gmail.com

§ ab@ed.ac.uk

We shall first consider photons in the radio to microwave regions. Thousands of solar radio bursts occurring on different dates in the frequency range of 100-500 MHz observed by Ikarus/Zurich were analyzed by Aschwanden et al. [10]. In this frequency range, we select 117 MHz to investigate since it is a transition line between two energy levels of hydrogen. The minimum solar flux between 105 MHz and 120 MHz was measured to be around 140 solar flux unit(SFU) [10], which equals  $1.4 \times 10^{-20}$  W/(m<sup>2</sup>·Hz) and translates to an energy flux of  $2.1 \times 10^{-13}$  W/m<sup>2</sup>.

The ratio  $\Delta\lambda/\lambda_0 = 0.1\%$  is a reasonable estimate [7] to use in eq.(1) to account for the stimulated emission linewidth. This implies an energy flux of  $1.5 \times 10^{-15}$  W/m<sup>2</sup> and a photon number flux of  $1.94 \times 10^{10}$  s<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> at 117 MHz. Thus we take the rate of |1) state as  $N_1(117 \text{ MHz}) \sim 1.94 \times 10^{10}$ .

The 117 MHz comes from transition between two energy levels of neutral hydrogen. But hydrogen will be ionized in deep corona regions because of the high temperature. Therefore, the stimulated emission could only occur across a thin layer just above photosphere. The thickness of the layer is about  $L = 2 \times 10^6$  m according to the electron density and temperature model of the chromosphere [11, 12]. We can think of the hydrogen atoms in this layer as being in thermal equilibrium since they are at the boundary of the photosphere. However, only those neutral hydrogen atoms that are in the upper level of the 117 MHz line are able to be stimulated by the 117 MHz photons. After calculating the Boltzmann factors of all the energy levels of a hydrogen atom listed by NIST[8] at temperature  $T = 5800$  K, the fraction of these stimuable hydrogen atoms is given by

$$\frac{e^{-E_0/k_B T}}{\sum_i e^{-E_i/k_B T}} \sim 4.53 \times 10^{-12}, \quad (3)$$

where  $E_0$  is the energy of the stimuable hydrogen atoms,  $E_i$  are the energies of hydrogen atoms at various energy levels and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant. For the density of hydrogen atoms we take the modest estimate of  $10^{18}$  m<sup>-3</sup>, though even higher number densities are suggested, depending on the height above photosphere [11, 12]. Thus the density of stimuable hydrogen atoms is roughly  $n_H = 4.5 \times 10^6$  m<sup>-3</sup>.

Substituting the rate  $A = 4.6551 \times 10^{-11}$  s<sup>-1</sup> [8] of spontaneous emission of a 117 MHz photon from a hydrogen atom on the corresponding energy level into eq.(1), results in a cross section  $\sigma_0 = 6.6 \times 10^{-17}$  m<sup>2</sup> for stimulated emission. Given the density of hydrogen atoms and the cross section, the mean free path (MFP) of the stimulated emission is calculated to be about  $3.34 \times 10^9$  m.

Following the path of a |1) state, the probability of finding a |2) state at a distance  $L$  is  $P(L, 2)$ . Since we have estimated the rate of |1)-states  $N_1(117 \text{ MHz})$  in the discussion above, the rate of detectable |2)-states

$N_2(117 \text{ MHz})$  can be computed by applying eq. (2),

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(117 \text{ MHz}) &= N_1(117 \text{ MHz}) \times e^{-n_H \sigma_0 L} (1 - e^{-n_H \sigma_0 L}) \\ &\approx 1.16 \times 10^7 \text{ per s}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that among all the 117 MHz photons impinging upon an area of 1 m<sup>2</sup> near Earth in one hour, there are over 11 million |2) states.

Besides the 117 MHz band in the radio, we also find the rate of |1)-states for 232 MHz based on the time profile of radio flux from the Ikarus/Zurich observations [13]. While in the microwave range, we gather the data of |1)-state rates for 1.078 GHz, 1.2378 GHz, 1.3711 GHz, 2.9334 GHz, 3.245 GHz and 9.9112 GHz respectively, from a spectral fit of the analysis of solar flares detected by the Owens Valley Solar Array(OVSA)[14]. These frequencies are in the radio to microwave range that could come from stimulated emission of hydrogen atoms [8]. We did not find relevant rates of stimulated emission in this range from other atoms/ions. Following similar procedures laid out above, we present the calculated rates  $N_2(\nu_0)$  of |2)-state for these frequencies  $\nu_0$  in table I below. Across the spectrum, among the photons

$\nu_0$ (GHz)	$N_1(\nu_0)$ s <sup>-1</sup>	$N_2(\nu_0)$ s <sup>-1</sup>	$N_3(\nu_0)$ s <sup>-1</sup>	$N_4(\nu_0)$ s <sup>-1</sup>
0.117	$1.94 \times 10^{10}$	$1.16 \times 10^7$	6953	4.16
0.232	$1.20 \times 10^{10}$	$8.40 \times 10^6$	6093	4.41
1.078	$1.30 \times 10^{11}$	$8.17 \times 10^6$	514	0.03
1.2378	$9.85 \times 10^{10}$	$4.28 \times 10^7$	18688	8.14
1.3711	$8.03 \times 10^{10}$	$9.31 \times 10^6$	1081	0.12
2.9334	$1.75 \times 10^{10}$	$7.63 \times 10^6$	3327	1.44
3.245	$1.43 \times 10^{10}$	$6.24 \times 10^6$	2719	1.18
9.9112	$1.54 \times 10^9$	$2.87 \times 10^7$	547938	10447

TABLE I: Estimates of the rates of |2)-state, |3)-state and |4)-state in the radio and microwave range.

impinging upon an area of 1 m<sup>2</sup> near Earth in per second, millions of |2)-states, and from hundreds to half a million |3)-states could be measurable, depending on the efficiency of the instruments. Even if the original measurements were performed by different research teams with different equipment at different locations and times, values of  $N_2(\nu_0)$  in table I are of similar order of magnitudes.

Note that the hydrogen density varies by more than 9 orders of magnitude in different parts of the solar atmosphere(for instance, the lowest particle density found in corona holes is  $5 \times 10^9$  m<sup>-3</sup>[15]). Thus it should be noted that the lower value of this density then gives a cautious estimate for SE rates which could be reduced by orders of magnitude comparing to those found in table I.

Note that differences between values of |3)-state rates  $N_3(\nu_0)$  in table I diverge, and the values of |4)-state rates  $N_4(\nu_0)$  diverge further, varying from  $3 \times 10^{-2}$  to  $1 \times 10^4$ . This divergence occurs as a manifestation of different MFPs for photons of different frequencies. For example, the MFPs for photons of 1.078 GHz and 9.9112 GHz are

around  $3.17 \times 10^{10}$  m and  $1.04 \times 10^8$  m, respectively. To create a  $|4\rangle$ -state from a  $|1\rangle$ -state, there needs to be three consecutive events of stimulated emission. The two orders of magnitude difference in MFPs get cubed when calculating these rates, resulting in roughly six orders of magnitude difference between  $N_4(1.078$  GHz) and  $N_4(9.9112$  GHz).

Besides  $|3\rangle$ -states and  $|4\rangle$ -states, even higher number states can be produced for certain frequencies, which we show in the table below, on an adjusted time scale (per s, per hr, etc.). On an hourly basis, it is anticipated to

$\nu_0$ (GHz)	$N_5(\nu_0)$	$N_6(\nu_0)$	$N_7(\nu_0)$	$N_8(\nu_0)$
0.117	12 per hr			
0.232	11 per hr			
1.078				
1.2378	12 per hr			
1.3711				
2.9334	2 per hr			
3.245	1 per hr			
9.9112	199 per s	3 per s	260 per hr	4 per hr

TABLE II: Estimates of the rates of  $|5\rangle$ -state,  $|6\rangle$ -state,  $|7\rangle$ -state, and  $|8\rangle$ -state in the radio and microwave range. If any rate is too low, we leave it blank.

have  $|5\rangle$ -states for some of the frequencies, and for 9.9112 GHz,  $|N\rangle$  up to  $|8\rangle$ -states are expected.

In Ref. [5], we performed estimates on the rates of  $|2\rangle$ -states at 530.3 nm, 19.664 nm and 1.5 nm transition lines from the solar corona by picking the lower bound whenever a quantity is given in a range. Although being cautious and conservative, this approach underestimated the rates and we revisit the calculations here with more practical values of quantities and so expect higher rates. For the 530.3 nm line, we can assign a value of 20 CI for the intensity according to the observation data during the solar activity cycles [16], which corresponds to a rate of  $|1\rangle$ -states of  $N_1^{530.3} \sim 2.4 \times 10^{13}$ . Also, we choose a value of  $1.416 \times 10^4$  m $^{-3}$  for the density of Fe XIV at the excited level of 530.3 nm [17, 18], which is about 40% higher than the one we used in Ref. [5]. Taking these factors into account, the rate of  $|2\rangle$ -states per second is computed to be  $N_2^{530.3} \sim 2062$ , while the rates of  $|3\rangle$ -states and higher are still negligibly small. Turning to the UV emission line of 19.664 nm, where both the intensity of the line [19] and the density the excited Fe XII ions can acquire larger values, this leads to a rate of  $|2\rangle$ -states  $N_2^{19.664} \sim 1957$ . Despite following practical guidelines, the rate of  $|2\rangle$ -state for 1.5 nm X ray can not be materially improved. The rates of  $|2\rangle$ -states of optical and UV lines are listed in the table III.

*Decoherence & Discussion* – Having shown the rates of production of these N-photon states, one may wonder how likely it is for such states to be received by a detector intact without decoherence. Ref. [5] shows the decoherence of photons in the optical to X-ray range is negligible. Therefore, here we mainly consider the

$\lambda_0$ (nm)	530.3	19.664	1.5
$N_1$ per s	$2.4 \times 10^{13}$	$1.56 \times 10^{16}$	$3.78 \times 10^{10}$
$N_2$ per s	2062	1957	$\sim 0$

TABLE III: Estimates of the rates of  $|2\rangle$ -states in the optical and UV range.

possibility of decohering radio and microwave photons. Since the energy of radio/microwave photons are low, they interact with charged particles in the corona predominantly through Thomson scattering, which has a cross section of  $\sigma_{\text{Th}} = 6.65 \times 10^{-29}$  m $^2$ . Employing the electron density  $n_e$  in the corona given by Allen and Baumbach [20], and Edenhofer, et al. [21] respectively, we arrive to a MFP= $1/(n_e\sigma_{\text{Th}})$  around  $10^{17}$  m, which is 6 orders of magnitude longer than the distance between the Earth and the Sun.

Another decoherence process could be the scattering of photons off dust particles. The average dust flux measured by In-Situ Helios [22] in heliocentric distance range from 0.3 to 1.0 AU is  $(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$  m $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$ , with dust radius of 0.37  $\mu\text{m}$ . The shortest wavelength of the radio-microwave photons in our discussion is 5 orders of magnitude longer than the radius of these dust particles. As a result, the scattering would be well described by classical wave diffraction, altering the phase of the photons collectively and leaving coherence unbroken. Dividing the flux by the measured impact speed  $\sim 60$  km/s leads to a number density of the dust of  $4 \times 10^{-11}$  m $^{-3}$ , which further suggests a MFP of  $\sim 10^{24}$  m for the scattering. The long MFP for scattering off the dust means such interactions are not significant.

Faraday rotation may be a potential effect, where there is no direct particle interaction. This effect leads to a polarization rotation of angle  $\beta$  which depends on the wavelength  $\lambda$ , the electron density  $n_e(s)$  at point  $s$  along the path from the solar surface  $R_\odot$  to an observer  $d$ , and the component of the magnetic field  $B_\parallel(s)$  in the direction of propagation. A magnetic field of size  $B_\parallel \sim 100$   $\mu\text{T}$  is an overestimate for the value of magnetic field for the entire region under consideration [23]. Using this value and following the calculation in Ref. [5] for  $\beta(\lambda = 100$  nm), yields the rotation angles for the relevant wavelengths in the radio-microwave range of

$$\beta(\lambda = 100 \text{ cm}) \simeq 10^{-4}, \quad \beta(\lambda = 1 \text{ cm}) \simeq 10^{-8}.$$

The electron density and magnetic field strength in Earth's atmosphere are of similar magnitudes as those in the solar corona, but Earth's much thinner atmosphere leads to even smaller rotation angle. This is a small rotation and in addition Faraday rotation impacts photon in the aggregate. Thus, Faraday rotation results in merely an overall rotation without decoherence effects.

The propagation of photons in the Earth's ionosphere could be subject to interactions because the varying electron density changes electric permittivity. However,

the plasma frequency of the ionosphere is about 6 to 60 MHz[24], which is much lower than the frequencies considered here. As such, this would at most cause the electric permittivity to barely deviate from 1 and only slightly refract the low frequency photons. Therefore, the ionosphere does not decohere photons that have frequency much higher than 60 MHz.

Another effect, birefringence, usually splits a wave into different paths, depending on the directions of polarization and propagation. For example,  $|2_{\vec{k},s_1}\rangle$  and  $|2_{\vec{k},s_2}\rangle$  have polarization  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  respectively, and birefringence can split them but has no relative effect on individual components of  $|2_{\vec{k},s_1}\rangle$  and  $|2_{\vec{k},s_2}\rangle$ . This means for example it affects the two photons of  $|2_{\vec{k},s_1}\rangle$  in the same manner, because they share the same polarization  $s_1$ . Consequently, the occurrence of the birefringence does not imply coherence of the state is broken. Similar to the study of effects from gravity [3], it only induces a change in fidelity, which is distinguished from the loss of quantum coherence.

*Measurements* – Measuring the quantum nature of a signal in space could be significantly more technically demanding than in a terrestrial lab. Since the interactions affecting photons in the radio-microwave frequency range either have very long MFPs or merely change phase uniformly (e.g., We found negligible ionosphere effects and the small Faraday rotations including the Earth’s atmosphere.), our investigations demonstrate that decoherence mechanisms are ineffective against these photons. Therefore, a proposed test of photon coherence within the radio-microwave band can be Earth-based instead of relying on satellites, hence significantly reducing the difficulty and cost of conducting such experiments. Moreover the impact from the Earth’s atmosphere can be further reduced by taking measurements on days without clouds[25].

Most of the indistinguishability tests of photons are based on the Hong-Ou-Mandel (HOM) effect[9], in which two identical single photons enter a beam splitter through different input gates but leave through the same exit terminal. Based on the HOM effect, Deng et al. [25, 26] tested quantum interference in the optical range between single solar photons and photons produced by a quantum dot on the Earth, demonstrating distinct evidence for the quantum nature of light. Within the frequency band of our investigation, HOM experiments for microwave photons ( $\sim 7.25$  GHz) from different sources have also been performed [27], which provides the blueprint for future experimental setups.

The conventional HOM effect is that two  $|1\rangle$  states coalesces into one  $|2\rangle$  state, while our focus is to test the coherence of a  $|2\rangle$  state from the start. Hence, to identify a  $|2\rangle$  state, a time reversed HOM setup should be used which suppresses two-photon components in each output port [28], leading to one  $|1\rangle$ -state coming out of each exit port. The dimensional size of HOM test devices being around 1 mm[27] sets the rate of  $|2\rangle$  around  $10^0$  to  $10^1$

$s^{-1}$  per HOM device. Note that the areas involved in these estimates are presumed perpendicular to a radial trajectory from the Sun.

Let us begin with a rough description of the expected signal and noise from our proposed HOM tests of our rate estimations. Suppose the device has a working area of  $1 \text{ mm}^2$ , two entrances  $a'$ ,  $b'$  and two exits  $a$ ,  $b$ . Picking 9.91 GHz as the example, a number of  $N_1(9.91 \text{ GHz}) \times (10^{-3})^2$   $|1\rangle$  states would enter either  $a'$  or  $b'$  and come out of either  $a$  or  $b$ , which should give background noise with average time gap between adjacent  $|1\rangle$  states being around 0.65 ms.

Overlapping with the  $|1\rangle$  background are a number of  $N_2(9.91 \text{ GHz}) \times (10^{-3})^2$   $|2\rangle$  states, which also enter either  $a'$  or  $b'$  but come out of both  $a$  and  $b$  simultaneously with identical  $|1\rangle$  pairs. This should give a signal with average time gap between adjacent  $|1\rangle$  pairs being around 34.8 ms. As such, the average timing pattern in the  $|2\rangle$  signals is substantially distinctive comparing to that of the background  $|1\rangle$ -photons. But note that the wavelength of these photons are about 3 cm, and so we expect them to be distinguishable at the level of  $\times 0.1$  ns. Hence, both signal and noise rates are slow enough that we expect very few random coincidences.

Let us next investigate the ratio of signal to noise for photons received at random as listed in the tables in more detail. Again we consider  $|1\rangle$  state photons of fixed frequency as noise and  $|2\rangle$  state photons of the same frequency as the signal. As above, we will focus on the 9.9 GHz case as an example. The probability of no coincidence  $P_{nc}$  in one second in a  $1 \text{ mm}^2$  HOM device due to  $|1\rangle$  state photons is

$$P_{nc} = \frac{b!}{(b-n)!b^n}$$

where  $b$  is the number of temporal bin (which we take to be  $10^7$ , i.e., the bins are 100 ns each) and  $n$  is the number of 9.9 GHz  $|1\rangle$  state photons per second on the device, which is 1540. For  $b$  large and  $b \gg n$  the approximate probability of a coincidence (i.e., fake signal) is

$$P_c = 1 - e^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2b}} = 11\%.$$

The true resolution of the device is probably closer to 1 ns which would give  $P_c = 0.1\%$ . On the other hand, we assume all  $|2\rangle$  state photons convert to two  $|1\rangle$  state photons, one in each are of the HOM device, so all generate a coincidence. In the 9.9 GHz example that gives a rate of 28 per s in a  $1 \text{ mm}^2$  detector. Hence, the experiment is dominated by the signal in this example, and we arrive at the same conclusion for all frequencies listed in the tables. to summarize, if the inverse HOM effect is efficient, then multi-photon states can easily be detected from the solar corona.

We have also given the rates for high number states including  $|3\rangle$ ,  $|4\rangle$  etc. It is worth noting that three-photon interference has been observed [29] and there is developing research on the four-photon HOM effect

[30]. We also note that other astrophysical quantum experiments in the optical region have been proposed by Dravins et al. [31, 32].

*Summary* – Because of the relations between the production rate of these quantum states and the properties of the solar corona, such as ionic content, thickness and temperature, experimental measurements of the quantum states should unveil new information on the structure of the corona.

Starting from the observational data of solar radio flux and the theory of stimulated emission, this work calculated the rates of potentially measurable  $N$ -identical photons states  $|N\rangle$ , originating from the propagation of photons through the stellar atmosphere, specifically, the solar corona. We estimated the rate numbers of detectable  $|N\rangle$ -states of photons and gave the average expected results in future tests. We demonstrated that various interactions including scattering, Faraday rotation, etc. are not effective in decohering these quantum states of photons, particularly due to the long MFPs between interactions. We discussed how to

measure these quantum states via the HOM effect and how the setups in the prior experiments could be modified and applied to the measurements.

This Letter has developed a method that uses quantum coherence to provide a valuable, novel probe of the solar atmospheric structure and possibly that of nearby stars. At a fundamental level the measurement of these stimulated emission states discussed in this Letter would be a novel demonstration of quantum coherence of a state being sustained over astronomical distance.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AB is partially funded by STFC. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission. This work is supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No. 2020YFC2201501 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 12347103.

- 
- [1] A. Berera, Quantum coherence to interstellar distances, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 063005 (2020), arXiv:2009.00356 [hep-ph].
- [2] A. Berera, S. Brahma, R. Brandenberger, J. Calderón-Figueroa, and A. Heavens, Quantum coherence of photons to cosmological distances, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 063519 (2021), arXiv:2107.06914 [hep-ph].
- [3] A. Berera and J. Calderón-Figueroa, Viability of quantum communication across interstellar distances, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 123033 (2022), arXiv:2205.11816 [quant-ph].
- [4] L. Boyle, On Interstellar Quantum Communication and the Fermi Paradox, (2024), arXiv:2408.02445 [quant-ph].
- [5] A. Berera, J. Calderón-Figueroa, L. Chen, and T. W. Kephart, Astrophysically sourced quantum coherent photonic signals, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 043033 (2023), arXiv:2306.06676 [hep-ph].
- [6] J. S. Townsend, *A modern approach to quantum mechanics* (University Science Books, 2012).
- [7] O. Svelto, *Principles of Lasers* (Springer, 2010).
- [8] A. Kramida, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, and NIST ASD Team, NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.11), [Online]. Available: <https://physics.nist.gov/asd> [2023, December]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.
- [9] C. K. Hong, Z. Y. Ou, and L. Mandel, Measurement of subpicosecond time intervals between two photons by interference, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 2044 (1987).
- [10] M. J. Aschwanden, A. O. Benz, and M. L. Montello, Coherent-Phase or Random-Phase Acceleration of Electron Beams in Solar Flares, *Astrophys. J.* **431**, 432 (1994).
- [11] J. M. Fontenla, E. H. Avrett, and R. Loeser, Energy Balance in the Solar Transition Region. I. Hydrostatic Thermal Models with Ambipolar Diffusion, *Astrophys. J.* **355**, 700 (1990).
- [12] A. H. Gabriel, A discussion on the physics of the solar atmosphere - a magnetic model of the solar transition region, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A*,
- [13] M. J. Aschwanden, A. O. Benz, B. R. Dennis, and R. A. Schwartz, Solar Electron Beams Detected in Hard X-Rays and Radio Waves, *Astrophys. J.* **455**, 347 (1995).
- [14] G. M. Nita, D. E. Gary, and J. Lee, Statistical study of two years of solar flare radio spectra obtained with the Owens Valley solar array, *The Astrophysical Journal* **605**, 528 (2004).
- [15] H. Morgan and A. C. Cook, The width, density, and outflow of solar coronal streamers, *The Astrophysical Journal* **893**, 57 (2020).
- [16] V. Rušin, M. Minarovjech, M. Saniga, and L. Klocok, Long-term variations of the green coronal (530.3 nm) irradiance, *ILWS WORKSHOP*, 19 (2006).
- [17] J. Schmelz, D. Reames, R. Von Steiger, and S. Basu, Composition of the solar corona, solar wind, and solar energetic particles, *The Astrophysical Journal* **755**, 33 (2012).
- [18] S. R. Habbal, M. Druckmüller, H. Morgan, A. Ding, J. Johnson, H. Druckmüllerová, A. Daw, M. B. Arndt, M. Dietzel, and J. Saken, Thermodynamics of the solar corona and evolution of the solar magnetic field as inferred from the total solar eclipse observations of 2010 July 11, *The Astrophysical Journal* **734**, 120 (2011).
- [19] P. R. Young, T. Watanabe, H. Hara, and J. T. Mariska, High-precision density measurements in the solar corona, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **495**, 587 (2008).
- [20] D. B. Wexler, J. V. Hollweg, A. I. Efimov, L. A. Lukanina, A. J. Coster, J. Vierinen, and E. A. Jensen, Spacecraft radio frequency fluctuations in the solar

- corona: A messenger–helios composite study, *The Astrophysical Journal* **871**, 202 (2019).
- [21] P. Edenhofer, E. Lueneburg, P. Esposito, W. Martin, A. Zygielbaum, R. Hansen, and S. Hansen, Time delay occultation data of the helios spacecraft and preliminary analysis for probing the solar corona., *Journal of Geophysics Zeitschrift Geophysik* **42**, 673 (1977).
- [22] H. Krüger, P. Strub, N. Altobelli, V. J. Sterken, R. Srama, and E. Grün, Interstellar dust in the solar system: model versus in situ spacecraft data, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **626**, A37 (2019).
- [23] J. E. Kooi, D. B. Wexler, E. A. Jensen, M. N. Kenny, T. Nieves-Chinchilla, L. B. Wilson III, B. E. Wood, L. K. Jian, S. F. Fung, A. Pevtsov, *et al.*, Modern faraday rotation studies to probe the solar wind, *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences* **9**, 841866 (2022).
- [24] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, 1998).
- [25] Y.-H. Deng *et al.*, Quantum interference between light sources separated by 150 million kilometers, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 080401 (2019), arXiv:1905.02868 [quant-ph].
- [26] Z.-C. Duan, Y.-H. Deng, Y. Yu, S. Chen, J. Qin, H. Wang, X. Ding, L.-C. Peng, C. Schneider, D.-W. Wang, S. Höfling, J. P. Dowling, C.-Y. Lu, and J.-W. Pan, Quantum beat between sunlight and single photons, *Nano Letters* **20**, 152 (2020), pMID: 31841348, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b03512>.
- [27] C. Lang, C. Eichler, L. Steffen, J. M. Fink, M. J. Woolley, A. Blais, and A. Wallraff, Correlations, indistinguishability and entanglement in hong-ou-mandel experiments at microwave frequencies, *Nature Physics* **9**, 345 (2013).
- [28] Y. Chen, S. Ecker, S. Wengerowsky, L. Bulla, S. K. Joshi, F. Steinlechner, and R. Ursin, Polarization entanglement by time-reversed hong-ou-mandel interference, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 200502 (2018).
- [29] S. Agne, T. Kauten, J. Jin, E. Meyer-Scott, J. Z. Salvail, D. R. Hamel, K. J. Resch, G. Weihs, and T. Jennewein, Observation of genuine three-photon interference, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 153602 (2017).
- [30] A. Ferreri, V. Ansari, C. Silberhorn, and P. R. Sharapova, Temporally multimode four-photon hong-ou-mandel interference, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 053829 (2019).
- [31] D. Dravins, *Photonic Astronomy and Quantum Optics*, in *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 351, edited by D. Phelan, O. Ryan, and A. Shearer (2008) p. 95, arXiv:astro-ph/0701220 [astro-ph].
- [32] D. Dravins, C. Barbieri, R. A. E. Fosbury, G. Naletto, R. Nilsson, T. Occhipinti, F. Tamburini, H. Uthas, and L. Zampieri, Quanteye: The quantum optics instrument for owl (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0511027 [astro-ph].